

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-12069-2022
Date of Decision: 12.09.2022

Mohd. Shamshad Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. M.S. Yadav, Advocate for
Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsimar Singh Sitta, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 23.03.2022, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on the instructions from the concerned police official. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

Given above, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 23.03.2022 is made absolute, subject to the following condition:

Given the nature of allegations and the other circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner shall surrender all weapons, firearms, ammunition, if any, along with the arms license to the concerned authority within ten days from today and inform the Investigator about the compliance. However, subject to the Indian Arms Act, 1959, the petitioner shall be entitled to renew and take it back in case of acquittal in this case.

If the petitioner fails to comply with this condition, then on this ground alone, the bail might be canceled, and the complainant may file any such application for the cancellation of bail, and State shall file the said application.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

12.09.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No