

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CWP-5803 of 2022

MANJEET KAUR

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

2.

CWP-5824 of 2022

KAMALDEEP KAUR

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

3.

CWP-5832-2022

MANDEEP KAUR

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

4.

CWP-5848-2022

SUKHDEEP KAUR

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

5.

CWP-5854-2022

M/S GOBIND KHATRA HIGHWAYS (P) LTD.

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

6.

CWP-5865-2022

Date of decision : 23.03.2022

CWP-5803 of 2022 and other connected cases :2:

NIDHI DUTTA

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) in CWP Nos.5803, 5824,
5832, 5848 and 5854 of 2022.

Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Dhawaljeet Dutta, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-5865 of 2022.

(through video conferencing)

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

By this common order six writ petitions are being disposed of.

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the prayer of the petitioners is for the release of the stage carriage permit as allowed in their favour, keeping in view the orders passed on 24.12.2021, 24.12.2021, 27.12.2021, 24.12.2021, 27.12.2021 & 23.12.2021 respectively and also to provide them access code/password for depositing of online permit, which is not being allowed in their favour and in case the petitioners are not enabled to the said access within the given time-frame, the permits allotted to them will expire.

Learned counsel submits that as online permit fee of the petitioners is not being accepted by the respondent by not providing access code/password and on the other hand, the petitioners will lose the permit itself as deposit of the fees is the condition precedent for grant of permit to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners had already raised the grievance as raised in the present petition with the respondents by filing representations on different dates which are still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is given to respondent No.3 to decide the same in a time bound manner so that no prejudice is caused to the petitioners.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents-State.

Learned counsel for respondents-State submits that appropriate order on the representations of the petitioners will be passed within a period of ten days from today in case not already passed and in case, it is found that the petitioners are not at fault in depositing the permit fee within the time granted, the appropriate order in this regard will be passed so that, no prejudice is caused to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioners survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

23.03.2022

rimpall

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No