

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 202

CRM-M-12053-2022

Date of decision : 23.08.2022

Balraj Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.L.S.Mann, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Navneet Singh, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.144 dated 08.09.2021 registered under Sections 363 and 366-A IPC at Police Station City Patti, District Tarn Taran.

On 23.03.2022 this Court had passed the following order: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner, who is a 26 year old man, has been falsely implicated in this case at the behest of the complainant for the reason that the petitioner and the complainant's daughter have got married against the complainant's wishes; the petitioner has no other criminal case pending against him; the petitioner and the alleged victim have got married to each other on 20.12.2021 according to Sikh rites and rituals as per the marriage certificate dated 20.12.2021 (Annexure P-3); through CRWP No.12071 of 2021 – Amandeep Kaur and another Vs. State of Punjab and others the petitioner and the alleged victim have sought and got protection from this Court and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 23.08.2022.

Before the adjourned date, the State shall file a status report.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No. 144 dated 08.09.2021 registered under Sections 363 and 366-A IPC at Police Station City Patti, district Tarn Taran, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore quoted order the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the above submissions made on behalf of the petitioner as recorded in the afore quoted order and the afore-referred statement made by learned State counsel, the order of this Court dated 23.03.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

23.08.2022
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No