

**Saroj and others**

**.....Petitioners**

**Vs.**

**State of Haryana and another**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH**

Present: Mr. Yugal Kamal Jamwal, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Dr. Anmol Malik, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Ankur Malik, Advocate,  
for respondent no. 2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Case heard via video conferencing.

By this petition, the petitioners seek quashing, on the basis of a compromise arrived at between them and respondent no. 2, of FIR no. 283, dated 16.11.2017, registered at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 420/406/120-B/506 of the IPC, as also all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom. A copy of the compromise deed has been annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition.

Pursuant to the order dated 15.03.2019, a report has been received from the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ellenabad, dated 23.04.2019, stating that the complainant in the FIR, i.e. Gaurav Chhabra (respondent no. 2), had made a statement before that court that he had entered into a compromise with all the accused persons due to

intervention of respectable persons and that some amount has been deposited as an 'FD' to be released to him on the quashing of the FIR.

He also stated before that court that he has compromised the matter of his own free will, without any pressure or influence, the assessment of that court also being to that effect.

The statements of the five petitioners herein were also recorded before that court on oath, to the effect that they have entered into a compromise of their own will and they would have no objection if the amount deposited by way of a fixed deposit, be released to respondent no. 2 after proceedings had been quashed in the FIR (obviously if they are to be so quashed).

Learned counsel appearing for respondent no. 2 also submits that the compromise between the parties still subsists, subject to of course release of the amount of the fixed deposit.

Though, as per the FIR, the allegation by respondent no. 2 was to the effect that the petitioners have duped many other persons in the same manner as they are alleged to have duped respondent no. 2, nothing to that effect is stated in the reply filed by the DSP, Ellenabad, and with learned counsel for respondent no. 2 also not pressing any such allegations.

Again, though in the reply of the DSP, it is stated that the offences being non-compoundable and as the trial is almost at its fag end, the FIR does not deserve to be quashed, however, keeping in view the nature of offences alleged to have been committed, with the matter having been amicably settled between the parties, there being no other accused even as per learned counsel for the complainant, the petition is allowed and FIR No.

283, dated 16.11.2017, registered at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under the provisions of Sections 420/406/120-B/506 of the IPC, along with all proceedings emanating therefrom, is hereby quashed.

The amount in the fixed deposit (referred to hereinabove), be released to the complainant within ten days from today.

February 11, 2022  
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes  
No.