

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH**

236

**CWP-6965-2021 (O&M)**  
**Date of Decision: 12.09.2022**

**M/S RG ENTETPRISES**

... Petitioner

Versus

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Raghav Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Shivoy Dhir, Advocate  
for respondent No.4.

\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)**

The present writ petition raises a challenge to the order dated 19.06.2020 passed by the Revenue Authorities for initiating recovery proceedings against the petitioner as arrears of land revenue under the Punjab Land Revenue Act, 1887. The disputed question of fact in this petition arises for consideration with regard to the existence of agreement *inter se* between the Department of District Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs Controller-cum-Secretary District Milling Committee, Kaithal i.e. respondent No.3 and the petitioner herein. Whilst the case of the petitioner is that no such agreement was ever executed, however, on the contrary, it is the contention of the respondent department that the alleged agreement was duly executed and existed between the parties, but the same has been taken away on some false pretext by the son of the petitioner –Girish Miglani, who is also a partner in the petitioner mill.

Since the disputed questions of facts are involved in the present petition, learned Sr. Counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to raise all such pleas/objections available to him in accordance with law at an appropriate stage and by means of appropriate proceedings.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as aforesaid.

12.09.2022  
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No