

111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

1. COCP-754-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.04.2022

Paramjit and Ors. ...Petitioners

vs.

Kakumanu Siva Prasad ...Respondent

2. COCP-752-2021 (O&M)

Nand Kishore and Ors. ...Petitioners

vs.

Kakumanu Siva Prasad ...Respondent

3. COCP-1017-2021 (O&M)

Harjeet Singh and Ors. ...Petitioners

vs.

Kakumanu Siva Prasad and another ...Respondents

4. COCP-761-2021 (O&M)

Komal Singh and Ors. ...Petitioners

vs.

Bhupinder Singh Rai ...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. P.K. Goklaney, Advocate and
Mr. Ashish Goklaney, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall decide COCP-754-2021, COCP-752-2021,

COCP-1017-2021 and COCP-761-2021 as prayer in all the petitions is

qua intentional and willful defiance of orders, Annexure P/1 dated 13.10.2020 in CWP-16889 of 2020, CWP No.16907 of 2020, CWP No.16920 of 2020 & dated 24.09.2020 in CWP No.15281 of 2020, to decide the legal notice(s) with regard to claim for grant of revised pay scales as recommended by various Pay Commissions for skilled and semi-skilled staff in the service of the Punjab Government.

2. Learned Counsel contends that the aforesaid petitions were filed on account of failure of the respondents to do the needful.

3. Learned AAG has produced separate affidavits of Sh. K. Siva Prasad, IAS, Principal Secretary, Department of Transport, Punjab, Chandigarh along with speaking order dated 30.03.2022 in COCP Nos.752, 754 & 1017 of 2021 as well as speaking order, Annexure R-1/T dated 30.03.2022 in COCP No.761 of 2021 rejecting the claim made in the legal notice(s). The same are taken on record. Copies thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners, who on perusal of the same states that the petitioners do not press the instant petitions, instead pray for liberty to challenge order, Annexure R/1 dated 30.03.2022 (in all cases) by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

4. Admittedly, order dated 30.03.2022, Annexure R/1 has been passed in all cases rejecting the claim made in the legal notice(s) filed by the petitioners.

5. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the contempt petitions are disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt

order, Annexure R/1 dated 30.03.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings
in accordance with law.

6. Rule discharged.

01.04.2022

'Amit'

(B.S. Walia)

Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No