

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

CR-1018-2022

Date of decision: 23.03.2022

MAHIPAL SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to the respondents to decide the application dated 06.05.2016 (Annexure P-1) for making correction in order dated 08.01.2016 filed before respondent No.2 at Diary No.2476 dated 06.05.2016 as well as Execution Petition (Annexure P-2) filed at Diary No.2642 dated 01.08.2019 of Award dated 08.01.2016 (Annexure P-3) pending before respondent No.2 and to make the payment to the petitioner along with interest @ 15% per annum, expeditiously.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the application annexed with petition as Annexure P-1 was moved by the petitioner on 06.05.2016 for correction of a clerical error in the order dated 08.01.2016 passed by respondent No.2, however, the same remained undecided even though six years have since elapsed. It has been submitted that correction in the order dated 08.01.2016 is necessary without which the petition would be deprived of the interest @ 15% per annum on the award as provided by the proviso to Section 34 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894.

Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if the direction is given to respondent No.2 to decide the application (Annexure P-1) expeditiously within stipulated time frame.

I have heard learned counsel and perused the relevant material placed on record.

In view of the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2 to decide the application (Annexure P-1) expeditiously preferably within a period of three months from today, in accordance with law.

23.03.2022

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

Vinay

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No