

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

273

**CRM-M-12189-2022
Date of decision: 28.04.2022**

SUNNY MASIH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Surmeet S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate
for Anantdeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ. J.(Oral)

By means of the instant petition, the jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter referred to as “Cr.P.C”) has been invoked for seeking quashing of FIR No.051 dated 15.05.2021 under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafer referred to as “IPC”), (Section 326 of the IPC was added later on) registered at Police Station Sekhwan, District Gurdaspur and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 21.02.2022 (Annexure P-2) entered between the parties.

2 The parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate vide order dated 23.03.2022 of this Court, to get

their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at between the parties and a report in this regard was called for.

3. Pursuant to the said order, report has been received from the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Batala vide Memo No.162 dated 18.04.2022. The relevant extract of the report is reproduced as under:-

- “1. As per the statements of parties as well as I.O., there are only four persons arrayed as accused appearing in the present F.I.R/case namely (1) Sunny Masih S/o Jamesh Masih (2) Samuel Masih S/o Prem Masih (3) James Masih S/o Prem Masih (4) Vikram Masih @ Bikram Masih S/o Salim Masih all residents of village Theekriwal Ucha.*
- 2. As per the statements of I.O and parties, accused (1) Sunny Masih S/o James Masih (2) Samuel Masih S/o Prem Masih (3) James Masih S/o Prem Masih (4) Vikram Masih @ Bikram Masih S/o Salim Masih all residents of village Theekriwal Ucha are not declared proclaimed offender in the present case/F.I.R*
- 3. As per the statements of I.O and parties, challan has not been presented in the present F.I.R/Case.*

After considering the statements of the parties as well as the statement of I.O, this Court is of the view that the compromise between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.”

4. Learned State counsel does not dispute the factum of the compromise amongst the parties and does not have any serious objection to the resolution of the dispute amongst the parties.

5. Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate appears on behalf of respondent No.2 and reiterates the settlement and his concurrence to the FIR and all the other consequential proceedings being quashed.

6. The Full Bench of this Court in the matter of “**Kulwinder Singh and others versus State of Punjab and another**” reported as **(Punjab and Haryana High Court) : 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** has observed as under:

'(28) To conclude, it can safely be said that there can never be any hard and fast category which can be prescribed to enable the Court to exercise its power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. The only principle that can be laid down is the one which has been incorporated in the Section itself, i.e., "to prevent abuse of the process of any Court" or "to secure the ends of justice".

*(29) In **Mrs. Shakuntala Sawhney v. Mrs. Kaushalya Sawhney and Ors.**, Hon'ble Krishna Iyer, J. aptly summoned up the essence of compromise in the following words:*

“The finest hour of justice arrives propitiously when parties, despite falling apart, bury the hatchet and weave a sense of fellowship of reunion.”

(30) The power to do complete justice is the very essence of every judicial justice dispensation system. It cannot be diluted by distorted perceptions and is not a slave to anything, except to the caution and circumspection, the standards of which the Court sets before it, in exercise of such plenary and unfettered power inherently vested in it while donning the cloak of compassion to achieve the ends of justice.

(31) No embargo, be in the shape of Section 320(9) of the Cr.P.C., or any other such curtailment, can whittle down the power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C.

(32) The compromise, in a modern society, is the sine qua non of harmony and orderly behaviour. It is the soul of justice and if the power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is used to enhance such a compromise which, in turn, enhances the social amity and reduces friction, then it truly is "finest hour of justice". Disputes which have their genesis in a

matrimonial discord, landlord-tenant matters, commercial transactions and other such matters can safely be dealt with by the Court by exercising its powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. in the event of a compromise, but this is not to say that the power is limited to such cases. There can never be any such rigid rule to prescribe the exercise of such power, especially in the absence of any premonitions to forecast and predict eventualities which the cause of justice may throw up during the course of a litigation.

(33) The only inevitable conclusion from the above discussion is that there is no statutory bar under the Cr.P.C. which can affect the inherent power of this Court under Section 482. Further, the same cannot be limited to matrimonial cases alone and the Court has the wide power to quash the proceedings even in non-compoundable offences notwithstanding the bar under Section 320 of the Cr.P.C., in order to prevent the abuse of law and to secure the ends of justice.

(34) The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is to be exercised Ex-Debitia Justitia to prevent an abuse of process of Court. There can neither be an exhaustive list nor the defined para-meters to enable a High Court to invoke or exercise its inherent powers. It will always depend upon the facts and circumstances of each case. The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. has no limits. However, the High Court will exercise it sparingly and with utmost care and caution. The exercise of power has to be with circumspection and restraint. The Court is a vital and an extra-ordinary effective instrument to maintain and control social order. The Courts play role of paramount importance in achieving peace, harmony and ever-lasting congeniality in society. Resolution of a dispute by way of a compromise between two warring groups, therefore, should attract the immediate and prompt attention of a Court which should endeavour to give

full effect to the same unless such compromise is abhorrent to lawful composition of the society or would promote savagery.

7. The legal principles as laid down for quashing of the judgment were also approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of '**Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another,(2012) 10 SCC 303'**.

Furthermore, the broad principles for exercising the powers under Section 482 were summarized by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of '**Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinhbhai Karmur and others versus State of Gujarat and another"** (2017) 9 SCC 641'.

8. It is evident that in view of the amicable resolution of the issues amongst the parties, no useful purpose would be served by continuation of the proceedings. The furtherance of the proceedings is likely to be a waste of judicial time and there appears to be no chances of conviction.

9. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has held in the matter of '**Ramgopal And Another Vs State of Madhya Pradesh, 2021 SCC Online SC 834'**, that the matters which can be categorized as personal in nature or in the matter in which the nature of injuries do not exhibit mental depravity or commission of an offence of such a serious nature that quashing of which would override public interest, the Court can quash the FIR in view of the settlement arrived at amongst the parties. The observation of the Hon'ble Supreme Court is extracted as under:-

19. We thus sum-up and hold that as opposed to Section 320 Cr.P.C. where the Court is squarely guided by the compromise between the parties in respect of offences 'compoundable' within the statutory framework, the extraordinary power enjoined upon a High Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. or vested in this Court under Article 142 of the

Constitution, can be invoked beyond the metes and bounds of Section 320 Cr.P.C. Nonetheless, we reiterate that such powers of wide amplitude ought to be exercised carefully in the context of quashing criminal proceedings, bearing in mind: (i) Nature and effect of the offence on the conscious of the society; (ii) Seriousness of the injury, if any; (iii) Voluntary nature of compromise between the accused and the victim; & (iv) Conduct of the accused persons, prior to and after the occurrence of the purported offence and/or other relevant considerations.

10. The following relevant factors emerge from perusal of the case as well as the subsequent developments supplementing a case for invocation of the powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.:-

- i) The FIR in question has been registered on account of injuries being sustained by the complainant owing to a fight that occurred in relation to get possession of Panchayat land.
- ii) The said injuries were on non-vital parts of the body of the complainant.
- iii) That the petitioner No.1 is aged 24 years while the other petitioners are in their early thirties and forties.
- iv) Continued incarceration of the petitioners is likely to cause severe impact on their social reputation and career prospects as well as discharge of their social duties.
- v) That the proceedings in the case are at an initial stage and that the final report has so far not been filed in the present case.
- vi) That the parties happens to be residents of the same village and residing in the common neighbourhood.

- vii) Continuation of criminal proceedings is likely to spoil the peaceful atmosphere in the village.
- viii) The offence in question cannot be said to be heinous or as an offence that would be shocking to the conscience of the society or public at large. It can also not be termed as one shocking to the conscience of the Court;
- ix) Continuation of the proceedings and forcing the parties to undergo rigours of criminal proceedings is not likely to subserve any large public interest;
- x) The complainant is not likely to support the case of the prosecution. Continuation of the proceedings is likely to be a waste of judicial time. The proceedings are likely to end in futility for want of parties to support the case of the prosecution;
- xi) No larger public purpose would be served by continuation of the proceedings;
- xii) Parties do not suffer any criminal antecedents and have not indulged in any such or similar case during the pendency of the case or after registration of the FIR.
- xiii) The object of law is well served when the parties resolve their differences and choose to peacefully co-exist and live in harmony.

11. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate First Classs, Batala and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs.**

State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303, as well as **Ramgopal And**

Another Vs State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC Online SC 834 and also by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR No.051 dated 15.05.2021 under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 of the IPC (Section 326 of the Indian Penal Code was added later on) registered at Police Station Sekhwan, District Gurdaspur and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, **are hereby quashed** qua the petitioner/s in view of compromise dated 21.02.2022 (Annexure P-2). However, the same would be subject to payment of costs of **Rs.5,000/-** each to be deposited by the petitioners with the “**State Legal Services Authority, Mohali, Punjab**” within one month from receipt of certified copy of this order.

Petition is allowed.

APRIL 28, 2022

Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No