

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.201

**IOIN-FAO No.390 of 1989 in
FAO No. 390 of 1989**

Date of Decision: 21.11.2022

M/s Quick Carrier Private Limited

...Appellant

Versus

Vikas and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: None for the appellant.

Ms. Shamsheer Kaur, Advocate
for respondent No.3-Insurance Company.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

Despite the present matter having been taken up thrice since morning, none has put in appearance in the Court to represent the appellant. A perusal of the order dated 10.05.2019 passed by this Court reveals that learned counsel for the appellant had not appeared in the Court on that day despite the service of notice to him. As reported by the Registry on 20.12.2019, the notice issued to the appellant had been received back with the report that the address was incorrect. Moreover, from the orders passed during the interregnum till date, it becomes explicit that neither the appellant nor its counsel have appeared in the Court to pursue the present appeal.

In these circumstances, it seems that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the instant appeal any more. Resultantly, the same stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

21.11.2022

neetu

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No