

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

130

CWP No.6213 of 2022

Date of Decision: 05.09.2022

Neeraj Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ravinder Bangar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the claim of the petitioner for appointment to the post of PTI has not been accepted by the respondents despite the fact that large number of posts are still lying vacant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the ground for non-selection of the petitioner has not been informed to the petitioner despite the fact that the petitioner filed representation on 09.10.2020 a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case, the respondents are directed to decide the representation dated 09.10.2022 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner by passing appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and raises no objection for deciding the representation dated 09.10.2022 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without going into the merits of the case or the claim made by the

petitioner in the present petition or in the representation dated 09.10.2022 (Annexure P-5), the respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 09.10.2022 (Annexure P-5) within a period of four weeks by passing a speaking order from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

05.09.2022
manju

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No