

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-12880-2022
Date of Decision: 01.04.2022**

Suresh @ Golu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Ranvir S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Arya, Addl. AG, Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J.(ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.0200, dated 28.08.2020 under Sections 420, 506, 409, 467, 468, 471 IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Narwana Sadar, District Jind.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in custody from 03.12.2021 and thereafter the investigation has been completed by the police and report under Section 173 of Cr.P.C. has already been presented. He submitted that so far as the present petitioner is concerned, there was no role of the petitioner and he was not named in the FIR and has been nominated only on the basis of disclosure statement made by the other co-accused namely, Ashwani Sharma and Radhe Mohan Sharma and the aforesaid two persons had allegedly come from Madhya Pradesh to the complainant and took money from him on the pretext of getting job in the ordinance store in the district. He submitted that so far as the allegations against the petitioner that some amount of money was also given to him, the same is also false in view of the fact that about Rs.1,00,000/- was transferred in the name of the son of the petitioner and no amount was transferred in the name of the petitioner and the present petitioner is also not involved in any other case. He submitted that be that as it may the present case is triable by the

Magistrate and the entire case is based upon the documentary evidence and the trial of the case would take long time and therefore, he may be considered for the grant of regular bail.

3. On the other hand, Mr. R.S. Arya, learned Addl. AG, Haryana has submitted that it is correct that the petitioner is in custody from 03.12.2021 and the investigation of the case has been completed and the challan has been presented and it is also correct that the petitioner is not involved in any other case. However, he has opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground that the offences involved in the present case are serious in nature.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. The investigation of the case has been completed and it appears that the entire case is based upon the documentary evidence coupled with the fact that the case is triable by the Magistrate. The trial of the case would take long time and considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances coupled with the fact that the petitioner is not involved in any other case and has clean antecedents, this Court deems it fit and proper to grant bail to the petitioner. Consequently, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is released on bail on his furnishing adequate bail/ surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate, concerned.

6. It is made clear that the aforesaid observations would not mean anything on the merits of the case, as the same are only for the purpose of deciding the present petition.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

April 01, 2022
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No