

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(102)

CWP No. 5921 of 2020

Date of Decision : 14.11.2022

Sarita

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that her claim for re-adjustment as an Extension Lecturer is not being considered despite the fact that a Policy has been issued by the respondents on 04.03.2020, which has been further amended on 11.05.2022.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled for re-adjustment as an Extension Lecturer, which benefit is not being extended to her.

Notice of motion.

Learned State counsel, who appears keeping in view the advance copy given, submits that no such claim has been received from the petitioner raising the grievance as raised in the present petition and in case, petitioner is of the view that her claim is liable to be allowed in view of the

Policy dated 04.03.2020, which has been further amended on 11.05.2022, in

case any such claim is raised before the authorities concerned, appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of any such claim.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents to raise the claim as raised in the present petition.

Ordered accordingly.

November 14, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No