

(110-4) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-724-2021

Date of Decision :21.11.2022

GURMEET SINGH AND ORS ...Petitioners

versus

KALPANA MITTAL BARUAH AND ORSRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. H.S. Saggu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. A.S. Pannu, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate for the respondent No.4.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners states that in view of stay granted vide order dated 09.04.2021 in LPA No.189 of 2020 qua release of 50% of payments towards basic salary, he does not press the instant petition at this stage but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioners to move an application for revival of the instant petition in the eventuality of vacation/modification of stay or dismissal of the aforesaid LPA.

[2] The same is not opposed to by learned AAG and counsel for respondent No.4.

[3] Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 at this stage while granting liberty to the petitioners to move an application for

revival of the instant petition in the eventuality of modification of stay/vacation of stay/dismissal of the said LPA, whichever is earlier.

[4] Rule discharged.

21.11.2022
'Amit'

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No