

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11980-2022
Date of decision : 25.05.2022

Bhupinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sarabjit Singh Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a second petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR no.192 dated 10.08.2021 registered under Sections 379-B, 34 IPC at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur.

On 14.12.2021, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“This is a first petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR no.192 dated 10.08.2021 registered under Sections 379-B, 34 IPC at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned State counsel has brought to the notice of this Court that the charges are yet to be framed and the complainant has not yet been examined in the present case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the above, has submitted that he be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh after the evidence of the complainant has been led.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with

aforesaid liberty. It is clarified that when the second regular bail application is moved, the same would be considered on merits.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jaswinder Singh, has pointed out that the complainant has not been examined as yet and even the charges have not been framed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the above, seeks to withdraw the present petition, at this stage.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn, at this stage.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 25, 2022
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No