

128

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.5713 of 2022
Date of Decision: 22.03.2022**

Mukesh Kumar and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr.Rishav Sharma, Advocate for petitioners.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been fled under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, *inter-alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioners in view of policy dated 18.03.2011 (P-13) as well as notification dated 11.11.2021 (P-20).

At the outset, learned counsel, on instructions from petitioners submitted that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, he would be satisfied in case the representation dated 30.11.2021 (P-9) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

Ms. Maloo Chahal, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents, and raises no objection against the innocuous prayer of the petitioners.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.2-Director of Small Saving, Punjab Finance & Planning Bhawan, Chandigarh, to consider and decide the aforesaid representation dated 30.11.2021 (P-9) in accordance with law by passing speaking order within a period of 04 (four) months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

22.03.2022
sanjay

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>