IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

1. CRM-M-11888-2022

Nachattar Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another ...Respondents

---

2. CRM-M-11909-2022

Bimla alias Bimla Devi ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another ...Respondents

Date of decision: 22.03.2022

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.
(On advance notice)

****

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. *(Oral)*

This common order shall dispose of above noted two petitions as they arise out of the same FIR.

Prayer in these petitions, filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., is for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioners Nachattar Singh and Bimla alias Bimla Devi in FIR No. 115 dated 16.07.2021, registered under Sections 304 and 34 of the IPC at Police Station Jaitu, District Faridkot.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per

MOHAMMAD WASEEM ANSARI
2022.03.23 09:39
I attest to the accuracy of this
order

allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of complainant Manjit Kaur, it is stated that her elder son Ajaypal Singh was a drug addict and she used to restrain the accused persons i.e. petitioners Nachattar Singh, Bimla alias Bimla Devi and one Banso from selling drugs to her son. It is further stated that on 25.06.2021, the son of the complainant died after consuming narcotic substance, supplied by the petitioners as well as the co-accused.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that there is no other case pending against the petitioners.

In reply, learned State counsel submits that one of the co-accused, namely Banso, has already been arrested and *challan* qua her has been presented before the trial Court.

Learned State counsel further submits that there are serious allegations against both the petitioners that they were continuously supplying the narcotic drugs to the son of the complainant, who died after consuming the same.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, considering the fact that there are serious allegations against the petitioners, I find no ground to ground them the concession of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, both the petitions are dismissed.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

22.03.2022 **(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)**
Waseem Ansari **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*

MOHAMMAD WASEEM ANSARI
2022.03.23 09:39
I attest to the accuracy of this
order