

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

124

CRR(F) No.262 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of decision:10.08.2022

Angrej Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Ranjit Kaur

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Tribhawan Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL J.

By way of present revision petition filed under Section 401 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short “the Code”), petitioner seeks to challenge order dated 29.03.2017 passed by learned District Judge, Family Court, Barnala, whereby, monthly maintenance of Rs.10,000/- has been awarded to respondent-wife in proceedings under Section 125 of the Code.

Heard counsel for the petitioner.

There is no dispute about the marital ties between the parties. Impugned order has been passed on the basis of the statement of the petitioner made on 29.03.2017 (Annexure P-3), which has been consented to by the claimant-wife and is reproduced as under:-

“Statement of respondent-Angrej Singh

“Stated that I have no objection in allowing the application under Section 125 Cr.P.C. and finally by confirming the order dated 12.07.2016 passed in terms of application for ad-interim maintenance allowance at the rate of

*Rs.10,000/- per month to the applicant from the date of filing
the application filed on 20.07.2015 till entitlement of the same
by the applicant from me.*

*RO&AC
Signed
Angrej Singh”*

Petitioner, who is a literate person, cannot be permitted to urge that he was not aware of the consequences of his statement. He cannot be permitted retract and that too after more than 05 years of the passing of the order under challenge.

There is no merit in the petition, which is hereby dismissed.

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

August 10, 2022
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes