

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

127

CWP-6476-2022

Date of decision : 30.03.2022.

Gurvail Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. R.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has sought a direction to the respondent to grant him sixteen years promotional scale and to quash the order dated 07.02.2022 (Annexure P-7) passed by respondent No.2 whereby his representation has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, who was working on the post of Lower Divisional Clerk, is entitled to the second promotional pay scale.

Heard.

The petitioner is stated to have been appointed as daily wager in the year 1987. His services were regularized on 23.09.1999. He has been granted first time bound promotional scale in the year 2008 on completion of nine years of services.

In terms of the Rules, the second time bound promotion scale is applicable after sixteen years of service. However, the petitioner had been promoted as Lower Divisional Clerk on 16.07.2012 and had been placed in the

higher pay scale, therefore, his request for the second time bound promotion scale has been rejected. The time bound promotion scheme is meant to avoid stagnation in service and to place the employees who have not been promoted in a higher pay scale. The petitioner has already availed the first time bound promotion scale and before he could be eligible for second promotional pay scale, he had been promoted, therefore, I do not find any merit in the petition which stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

March 30, 2022
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No