

126

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5712-2022
Date of decision: 22.03.2022

TILAK RAJ

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Sukhdev Singh Kanwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the petitioner for appointment to the post of Constable in the Punjab Police under special category as the similarly situated candidates were considered and given appointment.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner limits his prayer to the extent that the legal notice dated 14.09.2021 (Annexure P-9), served upon the respondents should be decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. C. L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submit that the respondents will look in the

matter and will decide the legal notice of the petitioner as prayed. Let sufficient number of copies of the paper book be supplied to the State counsel during the course of a week, failing which no action would be called for.

The instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 14.09.2021 (Annexure P-9) of the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the official responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

22.03.2022

Chetan Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No