

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

282

CWP-6561-2021

Date of Decision :10.10.2022

Arun Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are single faculty member in the school, where they are teaching and there is also enough workload. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that despite the fact that the petitioners are single faculty member in their respective schools, the full salary of Rs.57,700/- for which they are entitled for, has not been extended to them by the respondents and that too without any valid justification.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that once the petitioners are single faculty member in their respective schools, the question of workload cannot be taken into consideration so as to decide the claim of the petitioners for the grant of full salary admissible to the Extension Lecturer.

Learned State counsel submits that in case the petitioners raises

the said grievance before the authorities concerned by way of filing an appropriate representation, the same will be decided by the respondents within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned State counsel, the present writ petition may be disposed off having been not pressed any further at this stage with liberty to the petitioners to file an appropriate representation raising their grievance before the authorities concerned.

Ordered accordingly.

October 10, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No