

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**118**

**CRM-M-9328-2020**  
**Date of Decision: 11.03.2022**

Mahabir Parsad  
..... Petitioner

*Versus*

State of Haryana  
..... Respondent

**CORAM:        HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA**

Present:-        Mr. Manoj K. Tanwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

\*\*\*\*

**ANOOP CHITKARA, J.**

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Rajesh submits that charges have been framed and three witnesses stand examined.

A perusal of the petition reveals that the prayer clause is for quashing of FIR whereas charges have already been framed.

Given above, learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw the present petition with a liberty to file fresh petition challenging the charges also.

Disposed of having been withdrawn with a liberty to file fresh, if so advised.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)**  
**JUDGE**

**11.03.2022**  
*Jyoti-II*

|                                  |               |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| <i>Whether Reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |