

Kuldeep and Ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

Amneet P. Kumar, IAS

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Vaidant Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 18.10.2019 in CWP No.12206 of 2019 in case titled as Kuldeep and others vs. State of Haryana and another for failing to decide representation dated 16.09.2018 filed by the petitioners within the stipulated period of time.

[2] On 25.04.2022, learned DAG had produced copy of order dated 23.06.2020 rejecting the claim of the petitioners as contained in representation dated 16.09.2018. However, time had been sought to comply with the order with regard to making payment of costs of Rs.25,000/- to the petitioners.

[3] Today, learned DAG has produced copy of sanction letter dated 18.05.2022 issued by the Director General, Secondary Education Haryana, Panchkula informing the Advocate General, Haryana of payment of costs having been approved and informing that the same

would be deposited in the accounts of the petitioners by way of transfer or

demand drafts within 6-7 working days. The aforementioned communication is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners, who states that in the circumstances, the petitioners do not wish to pursue the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while directing the respondent to ensure release payment of Rs.25,000/- to the petitioners as per assurance held out in the aforementioned communication within two weeks from today. Needless to mention, in case the needful is not done within the stipulated period of time, the petitioners would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition in which eventuality a serious view of the matter would be taken.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

18.05.2022

'Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>