

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRM-M-12732-2022
Date of Decision:28.03.2022

Amit

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Ankit Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed for issuance of directions to official respondents No.3 & 4 to decide the representation (Annexures P-1 & P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied in case directions be issued to respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, to decide the representations (Annexures P-1 & P-2) within the time bound manner.

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed.

Given above, the present petition is disposed of by issuing directions to respondent No.3-Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, to decide the representations by passing a speaking order within **two weeks** from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, he shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

28.03.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	No