

**142 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-979-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.03.2022

Binder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Kuldeep Singh

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. K.S.Brar, Advocate for the petitioner

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

Through this revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner calls into question the correctness of the order passed by the Additional Civil Judge, Senior Division, Bathinda, on 24.02.2022, while permitting the plaintiff to produce the original document –a promissory note and a receipt dated 04.08.2017. The court has noticed that a copy of the document, i.e the promissory note and receipt dated 04.08.2017 is already a part of the record.

The trial court, in exercise of its discretion, has permitted the original document to be produced.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the documents were produced at the fag end of the trial court.

It is well settled that the rules of procedure are made to advance the cause of justice. Such rules of procedure cannot be used to scuttle/delay the substantial justice. The suit is still pending. In such circumstances, no ground to interfere is made out.

Hence, dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

21.03.2022

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE