

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-11657-2022
Date of decision: 16.05.2022**

Tarsem Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Baljeet Nain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Shivam Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Choudhary, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 21 dated 17.01.2022, registered under Sections 379-B and 506 of the IPC at Police Station Sadar Tohana, District Fatehabad.

The operative part of the order dated 21.03.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of complainant Harwinder Singh, it is stated that he is an agriculturist and he and petitioner Tarsem Singh have studied together and they know each other very well. It is further stated that on 16.01.2022, the complainant received a phone call from the petitioner that there was a party of his son but the complainant did not go there and thereafter, petitioner and Poni son of Bona came on a motorcycle and took him along with them. The

complainant found Aman Malik there, who was also know to him as well as Kala and two other persons. Aman Malik started abusing the complainant and demanded Rs. 1 Lakh from him by showing a pistol and said that otherwise he will shoot the complainant and also started giving beatings to him. Other accused also gave beatings to complainant and snatched his mobile phone and Rs. 4500/-. It is further stated that the complainant became unconscious and when he regained conscious, he got the present FIR registered.

Learned counsel further submits that in fact it is a dispute with regard to sale and purchase of milk cattles and on account of settlement of some amount, the present FIR has been registered by giving it a color of snatching the mobile phone and some money.

Notice of motion.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 21.03.2022, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and on the basis of the affidavit of DSP, Tohana, assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 21.03.2022, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

16.05.2022

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*