

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO No.2347 of 2020 (O & M)
Date of Decision:-30.11.2022**

Phool Chand

...Appellant

Versus

Jai Parkash and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Goyat, Advocate
for the appellant.

NIDHI GUPTA J.(Oral)

The appellant/claimant has filed the present appeal for enhancement of compensation awarded by the MACT, Hisar vide Award dated 17.1.2020.

The only contention raised by learned counsel for the appellant/injured is that the Tribunal has only given Rs.5000/- as loss of income, whereas the claimant/injured was working as Marble Mistry and was earning Rs.15,000/- per month. However, the perusal of the findings of the learned Tribunal in this regard as contained in para 19 at page 21 of the paper book shows that the Tribunal has recorded that "...However, the claimant has not produced any documentary proof regarding his income and occupation....".

As such, there is no merit in the contention of learned counsel
for the appellant.

Dismissed.

November 30, 2022
Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No