

420 (Ist case)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-2008-2001 (O&M)

Date of decision :13.09.2022

Kamla Devi

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate
For the petitioner.

Mr. R.D.Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner.

2. Petition was admitted for hearing on 29.10.2002.

3. When taken up for final adjudication, after around 22 years, learned counsel is unable to assist the Court for lack of instructions.

4. Learned State counsel, at the outset, submits that services of the petitioner have been regularized and, therefore, her claim is rendered infructuous to that extent.

5. Writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to take up her cause with the department in case she has any grievance qua the effective date of her regularization, which is not the subject matter before this Court.

7. Disposed of with aforesaid liberty.

(ARUN MONGA)

JUDGE

September 13, 2022

Vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No