

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5911-2020

Date of Decision: 09.11.2022

Ranjit Singh

....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- None for the petitioner

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that the writ petition has been rendered infructuous as term of the Panchayat Samiti has come to an end. Moreover, fresh elections are under way and respondent No. 5 is not a candidate therein as he is not eligible to contest elections on the ground of pendency of a criminal trial. The prayer in the writ petition is for a direction to take appropriate action against respondent No. 5 on account of registration of a criminal case.

From the submissions made by learned State counsel it is apparent that directions as prayed for in the writ petition can not be issued.

The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case cause of action survives.

09.11.2022

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGEWhether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :Yes/No
Yes/No