

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11620-2022

Date of decision : 21.03.2022

Harmeet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sidhant Vermani, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for issuance of appropriate directions to the Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar to expeditiously take up and decide petition No.CRM-699-2021 (Annexure P-5) filed under Section 439(2) seeking cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to the private respondents in cross-case registered under Sections 326/325/323/324/427/148/149/506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 in FIR No.154 dated 20.06.2020 at Police Station Kathunangal Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case, as is apparent from zimni orders (Annexure P-7), petition for cancellation of anticipatory bail was filed on 24.05.2021 and the same has not been decided till date. It is further pointed out that on several dates, the trial Court had directed the report to be submitted by the SHO which was

not submitted by the SHO on more than five dates and even at present, the said report has not been submitted by the SHO and now the case is listed for 05.04.2022.

Notice of motion to respondent No.1 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Sarabjit S. Cheema, AAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the State and has submitted that the report, if already not submitted, would be submitted as expeditiously as possible and every effort would be made that the said report would be submitted on the next date i.e. on 05.04.2022.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, moreso, the fact that application for cancellation of anticipatory bail was filed on 24.05.2021 and the same is now pending for 05.04.2022, the present petition is disposed of with direction to the trial Court to decide the said application as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the report by the concerned SHO.

The respondent-State is directed to assist the trial Court and to submit the status report on the next date of hearing i.e. on 05.04.2022.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

21.03.2022

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No