

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No. 2544 of 2022
Date of decision: 22.03.2022**

Rabina and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Sarfaraj Anjum Mor, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners as petitioner No.1 has performed marriage with petitioner No.2 against the wishes of her parents and other family members and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the very outset, submits that wife of petitioner No. 2 has died in 2020 and there was no child out of the said wedlock. It is further submitted that petitioner No. 1 is having a male child, aged about 04 years, from her previous husband, who has given her divorce.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that petitioner No. 2 is earning Rs. 20,000/- per month by doing the job of a hair dresser in the village and can maintain petitioner No. 1 as well as her child.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG,

Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that a representation dated 10.03.2022 (Annexure P-5) was made to the Superintendent of Police, Nuh seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter.

Heard.

Without examining the question of validity or otherwise of marriage of the petitioners, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Nuh to look into the grievance of the petitioners expressed in the representation (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate action, if any, warranted in the circumstances.

The Superintendent of Police, Nuh is further directed to arrange a meeting of petitioner No. 1 with her father/mother in case any such application is moved.

It is made clear that in case any FIR is registered against petitioner No.2, it will be open for the police authorities to take action, in accordance with law.

22.03.2022

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No