

Raghvinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajiv Ratten, IAS

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 10.02.2022 in CWP No.1596 of 2022.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 dated 10.02.2022 reveals that CWP No.1596 of 2022 was disposed of by directing the competent authority to consider the writ petition filed by the petitioner as his representation and decide the same within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Pursuant to notice issued to the respondent to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against him, learned State counsel has filed reply by way of affidavit of the respondent alongwith order Annexure R-1 dated 24.03.2022 rejecting the claim of the petitioner.

[4] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances, he

does not press the instant petition but prays for liberty to the petitioner to challenge order Annexure R-1 dated 24.03.2022.

[5] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

[6] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

06.04.2022
ps

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No