

243 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-694-2021

Date of Decision : 10.05.2022

Jagdish Rai Arora and anr

...Petitioners

Versus

K.A.P Sinha IAS

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Virinder K. Shukla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 04.10.2019, in CWP-28876-2019.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-28876-2019 was disposed of vide order dated 04.10.2019, by directing the respondent to decide legal notice dated 19.07.2019, within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. Learned AAG, Punjab, has filed reply along with speaking order dated 31.03.2021, accepting the claim qua petitioner No.1 while rejecting the claim qua petitioner No.2. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners, who on perusal of the same, states that the petitioners do not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order dated 31.03.2021 qua petitioner No.2 besides directions be issued to the respondent to ensure release of benefits of promotion to petitioner No. 1 as per entitlement in terms of decision dated 31.03.2021,

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioners to challenge order dated 31.03.2021, rejecting the claim of petitioner No.2, by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law while the respondent is directed to ensure release of benefits to petitioner No.1, as per his entitlement as determined vide order dated 31.03.2021, within four weeks from today. Needless to mention, in case the needful is not done within the stipulated period of time, the petitioners would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition.

5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

10.05.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No