

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

134+327

CRM-M-13120-2021(O&M)

Date of Decision: August 01, 2022

Dilbag and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr.Vikas Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved of directions to pay the entire surety amount of Rs.2 lacs each, petitioner No.1-Dilbag and petitioner No.2-Satbir, who happens to be father of the convict-Parmod, for whom they had stood sureties and who became fugitive, have come up before this Court seeking its reduction.

Petitioners' case is that petitioners No.1 and 2 stood surety for son of petitioner No.2 as they are morally and ethically supposed to do that. However, petitioner No.2 never wanted his son to run away from the justice.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that on the failure of convict to surrender, after availing parole, the authorities have imposed the maximum possible harsh order where they directed the petitioners to pay surety amount to the sum of Rs.2 lacs each. He submits that in case the petitioners pay such a huge amount their financial conditions would be burdened.

I have perused the petition as well as heard learned counsel for the parties.

Giving the nature of the petition and considering relationship between the petitioners and convict, the surety amount is reduced to Rs.25000/- each. They are directed to pay the reduced amount on or before 30.09.2022 failing which, this order shall stand recalled automatically under section 362 Cr.P.C. read with 482 Cr.P.C. without any further reference from this Court.

Pending applications if any, stand disposed of.

Petition allowed to the extent above.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

August 01, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

No