

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 10744 of 2019

Date of decision: 16.3.2022

Aditya Vishnoi

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sudhir Gour and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vaibhav Jain, Advocate for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

(Through video conferencing)

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since a compromise arrived at *inter se* the petitioner, and, the complainant, after its acceptance, has resulted in the offence concerned, being ordered to be compounded by the learned Court concerned, thereupon, he has instructions to withdraw the present petition, as it for the afore reason, has hence become infructuous.

2. Prayer accepted.

3. The petition is disposed of as becoming, for the reason (supra), hence infructuous.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

March 16, 2022

Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No