

Date of Decision: 17.3.2022

Versus

.....Respondents

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are the parents-in-law of daughter of respondent No.5. He submits that daughter-in-law and son of the petitioners are both citizens of UK and false and frivolous complaints are being filed by their daughter-in-law through email. He submits that on earlier occasion, respondent No.5 filed complaint dated 27.7.2021 addressed to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, which was duly enquired into and having found no truth in the same, the same was filed. He submits that the petitioners were summoned by the Investigating Agency and they duly joined the proceedings. He submits that after having been consigned the earlier representation, the daughter of respondent No.2 again filed a fresh complaint dated 6.12.2021 on the same set of allegations only in order to harass the petitioners and the petitioners are time and again summoned by the police and

they were made to sit for hours there. He submits that the motive of the complainant is only to harass the old aged petitioners.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to treat this petition as representation on behalf of the petitioners and take a necessary decision for the redressal of their grievance in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

17.3.2022
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No