

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

CRWP-2429-2022

Date of Decision : **March 17, 2022**

DALIP SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present : Mr. Akhilesh Vyas, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI. J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 12.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner who is the husband of respondent No.4 and father of respondent No.5 is having apprehension of threat to his life at the hands of private respondents No.4 and 5 alongwith the remaining respondents who are the relatives of respondent No.4 and are in-laws of the petitioner. He submitted that the dispute is pertaining to the property belonging to the petitioner and the petitioner has filed a civil suit in this regard which is pending before the learned trial Court. He further submitted that due to the said dispute there is a threat to the life of the petitioner. He submitted that vide Annexures P-1 and P-2, representations dated 7.2.2022 were given to SSP, Amritsar (Rural) and SHO, Police Station Chatiwind, District Amritsar (Rural) but no action has been taken in this regard.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

The present petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for seeking direction to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and the same would , therefore, be not maintainable under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. Apart from this, the petitioner has attached representations Anenxures P-1 and P-2 purportedly to have been given to the police authorities but there is neither any averment nor there is any document to suggest that by what kind of method the said representations were given. Before approaching this Court for seeking any kind of direction, the petitioner ought to have approached the authorities by sending the representations through an accepted mode but the same has not been done. Even a perusal of the representations would show that the contents of the same are totally vague.

In view of the above, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is, hereby, dismissed.

In case the petitioner has any kind of reasonable apprehension regarding threat from the private respondents then he is always at liberty to move appropriate application before the concerned authorities by adopting appropriate procedure.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

March 17, 2022
ajay-1

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No