

119.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-5449-2022

Date of Decision: 17.03.2022

(Heard through VC)

KARAJ SINGH

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer seeking directions to the respondents to remove the anomaly between the pay of senior and junior Government employees according to Notification dated 22.08.2019 (Annexure P-1) issued by the Government of Punjab, Department of Finance which has been occurred due to grant of benefit of Assured Career Progression Scheme (ACP) in the pay scales re-revised in the year 2011 whereby the pay of some junior Government employees was fixed at level higher than the pay of the seniors and further directing the respondents-State to fix the pay of the petitioner and to release the arrears alongwith interest.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that despite repeated requests made to the respondents, no action has been taken with regard to the removal of the anomalies as alleged by the petitioner. He has

submitted that vide Annexure P-2, a detailed representation was given to the Director General of Police, Punjab and no action has been taken on the same. He has submitted that the petitioner will be satisfied in case, at this stage, directions are issued to the respondents to decide his representation dated 27.04.2021 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. C.L. Pawar, Senior DAG, Punjab, who is present through the medium of video conferencing, accepts notice on behalf of respondents and states that he has no objection in case the representation of the petitioner Annexure P-2 is decided in a time bound manner and in accordance with law.

I have heard the counsel for the parties and I am satisfied that the present petition can be disposed of at this stage without calling for reply from the State by issuance of appropriate directions.

Consequently, it is directed that respondent No.2 shall forward the representation of the petitioner Annexure P-2 to the competent authorities and the competent authorities shall thereafter decide the representation dated 27.04.2021 (Annexure P-2) by passing a speaking order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

The present petition is disposed of.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

17.03.2022
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No