

217

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.11341 of 2022 (O&M)
Decided on: 19.05.2022

Sudeep Fogaat

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.298 dated 06.10.2021 under Sections 420, 419, 120-B, 201 IPC and Sections 66-C & 66-D of I.T. (Amendment) Act, 2008, registered at Police Station Sector 13/17, Panipat, District Panipat.

The operative part of the order dated 17.03.2022, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the FIR, it is alleged that co-accused Ashok @ Shoki and others were providing assistance to the candidates to qualify the entrance exams of various jobs, as detailed in the FIR. Learned counsel has referred to disclosure statement of co-accused Monu @ Doctor, wherein it is stated that the petitioner was appointed as an invigilator and was paid Rs.500/- per day in a Cyber Lab and there is no direct allegation against him either in the FIR or in the

disclosure statement of coaccused Monu @ Doctor that he was having knowledge that the main accused Ashok @ Shoki and others are involved in illegal activities. It is also submitted that many of the co-accused have already been released on regular bail.

Notice of motion for 19.05.2022....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 17.03.2022, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from Inspector Parveen, and on the basis of the affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Special Task Force, Gurugram, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 17.03.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

19.05.2022
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No