

[153] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.841 of 2020
Date of Decision :02.12.2022

Jaswant Kaur ...Petitioner

versus

Er. Baldev Singh Sran, Chairman-cum-
Managing Director, Punjab State Power
Corporation Limited/PSPCL, The Mall, Patiala.Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : None for the petitioner.
Mr. Sehajbir Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-4, dated 07.08.2018, in CWP No.19534 of 2018 in case titled as 'Jaswant Kaur versus Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and another'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-4) reveals that CWP No.19534 of 2018 was disposed of vide order dated 07.08.2018 by directing the respondent to consider and decide the legal notice served by the petitioner and to take a conscious decision in respect thereto within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order, particularly in the light of Circular No.17/90, dated 23.04.1990 dated 23.04.1990 and judgments referred to above and in case, the competent authorities came to the conclusion that the petitioner was entitled to the relief claimed, to release the same to her within a period of next 45 days, while restricting the claim to 38 months prior to the filing of the instant petition.

speaking order (Annexure R-1) dated 19.09.2019 rejecting the claim of the petitioner as also postal receipt, Annexure R-2 dated 20.09.2019 in support of order Annexure R-1 having been sent to the petitioner and contends that in the circumstances, no action is called for against the respondents.

[4] Reply dated 26.11.2020 is stated to have already been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

[5] However, none put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner either on 20.03.2020, 07.09.2022 or for that matter today also. In the circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by adjourning the petition. Accordingly, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge order (Annexure R-1) dated 19.09.2019 by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

02.12.2022

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No