

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(304)

CRM-M-11452-2022

Date of decision: - 25.07.2022

Charanjeet Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of FIR No.246 dated 17.11.2020, under Sections 353, 186, 148, 149, 341 and 323 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Guruharsahai, District Ferozepur and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

On 17.03.2022, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

"Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.0246 dated 17.11.2020, under Sections 353, 186, 148, 149, 341, 323 IPC registered at Police Station Guru Harsahai, District Ferozepur and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rehat Bir Singh Mann, DAG, Punjab and Mr. Paras Jhamb, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1/State

and 2 respectively.

Parties may appear before concerned Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 04.04.2022 or on any other date convenient to the said Court and get their statements recorded with regard to the compromise. The original compromise shall be produced before the trial Court. In the event of their statements being recorded, the Court will send copies of the same to this Court before the next date of hearing along with its report:

- i) regarding genuineness and voluntary nature of the compromise;*
- ii) whether all the accused/petitioners are appearing before the Court or are on bail; and*
- iii) whether any other proceeding is pending against the accused/petitioners.*

Adjourned to 25.07.2022.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

17.03.2022"

As per the report submitted by the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Guruharsahai, none of the parties had appeared for recording of their statements.

Today none has appeared on behalf of the petitioners and respondent No.2. It seems that the compromise has not fortified between the parties and they are not ready to give their statements, thus, the present petition is dismissed. However, the liberty is granted to the parties to file a fresh petition in case the compromise still subsists and the parties are ready to give their statements before the trial Court.

July 25, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No