

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-11451-2022

Date of Decision: April 04, 2022

Harjinder Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr.Arshdeep S. Kler, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 17.03.2022, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 17.03.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation. He further submits that the petitioner has also complied the conditions as envisaged in paragraphs 18, 19 and 20 of the bail order dated 17.03.2022.

3. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Narender Singh. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

4. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner makes a case for release on bail.

5. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 17.03.2022, is hereby made absolute subject to the strict compliance of the conditions of order dated 17.03.2022. In case, any of the conditions of the said order is not complied, it shall be open for the complainant to file cancellation of bail on that ground alone.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

April 04, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

No