

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11591-2022(O&M)

Date of decision : 07.07.2022

Bijender Kumar Dahiya

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Ajay Vijarana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.Vivek Saini, Advocate
for DHBVN (Nigam).

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR no.2198 dated 02.07.2019 registered under Section 135 of the IEC Act at Police Station Irrigation and Power, Rewari, District Rewari.

On 25.04.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that in pursuance of order dated 21.03.2022 passed by this Court, the petitioner has deposited an amount of Rs.5,50,000/- with the Nigam.

Notice of motion for 07.07.2022.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as

provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Ashok Kumar, has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Learned counsel for Nigam has submitted that the petitioner has deposited an amount of Rs.5,50,000/- in the account of Nigam.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 25.04.2022 is made absolute.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petition.

Pending miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

July 07, 2022

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No