

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-11652-2022**

**Date of Decision:-04.05.2022**

Emeka Anado

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present:- Mr. Arjun Veer Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J.(Oral)**

Though the present petition has been filed for the grant of regular bail, at the time of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not pressing the said prayer and will be satisfied in case the trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection for the grant of prayer of the petitioner for concluding the trial in a time bound manner.

Keeping in view the fact that out of eighteen prosecution witnesses, three witnesses have already been examined and as per learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is behind the bars since 03 years, 05

months and 07 days, the trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and all the efforts be made to conclude the same within a period of six months from the next date of hearing fixed before the trial Court.

The petition stands disposed of.

May 04, 2022  
Vijay Asija

( HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE

|                           |          |
|---------------------------|----------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes / No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes / No |