

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-2600-2022**

**Date of Decision: 25.03.2022**

Sharndeeep Kaur and another

...Petitioners

## Versus

State of Punjab and others

## ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kapila, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J.(ORAL)**

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that it is a case where the petitioner No.1-girl aged 29 years is already married with respondent No.4 - Pargat Singh but she was being beaten up by respondent No.4, mercilessly and she has been thrown out of her matrimonial home and thereafter, the petitioner No.1 had gone to the house of her parents and they also refused to keep her and therefore, the petitioner No.1 has started living with the petitioner No.2 and they are in live-in-relationship since the marriage of the petitioner No.1 with respondent No.4 is already subsisting. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that he has confined his prayer only to the extent of ensuring the protection of lives of the petitioners.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, learned AAG, Punjab has appeared on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3 and accepts notice. He states that he has no objection in case the representation Annexure P-4 filed by the petitioners is considered by the concerned police officials and dealt in accordance with law.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The scope of the present petition is confined only to the extent of grant of protection of lives of the petitioners in view of the circumstances as stated by learned counsel for the petitioners and also stated in the petition itself. Therefore, without observing anything on the merits or any relationship *inter se* between the petitioners or between the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.4, this Court deems it fit and proper to direct the respondent No.2 to consider the representation Annexure P-4 filed by the petitioners and to assess the threat perception and thereafter if it is so required to ensure that the lives of the petitioners are protected. It is made clear that the same shall be done within a period of one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the aforesaid directions, the petition stand disposed of.

( JASGURPREET SINGH PURI )  
JUDGE

**March 25, 2022**

Manpreet

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |