

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-11467-2022

Date of Decision : 04.04.2022

Santokh Singh @ Manga

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr.Amit Dhawan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harpreet S. Multani, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Siddharth Sihag, Advocate
for the complainant.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that though, the supplementary challan has been submitted but the charges are yet to be framed and, therefore, appropriate direction be given to the trial Court to consider the supplementary challan as expeditiously as possible so as to decide whether, the charges are to be framed against the petitioner or not. In case, the charges are framed, the complainant be examined as the first witness.

Learned State counsel raises no objection for the same.

Keeping in view the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction that the consideration as to whether, the charges are to be framed against the petitioner or not keeping in view the supplementary challan submitted be done by the trial Court within a period of six weeks from the date

of receipt of the certified copy of this order and thereafter in case charges are framed, let the complainant be examined as the first witness as expeditiously as possible without unnecessary delay.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that in view of the above order, he does not press this petition at this stage and the same may be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly.

04.04.2022
anju

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>