

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 6468 of 2019

Date of decision: 04.08.2022

Darsewak Singh

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Pardeep Kumar, Advocate, and
Ms. Deep Shikha Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is challenging the order dated 18.02.2015 (Annexure P2) whereby claim of the petitioner against imposition of punishment of stoppage of annual increments has been set aside.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been discriminated, as punishment of stoppage of annual increments has been imposed upon him without any basis. He also submits that there are several other employees against whom similar allegations had been levelled, but they had been let off.

Heard.

The petitioner is challenging the orders of imposition of stoppage of annual increments during the years from 1991 to 1999. The appeals/representations of the petitioner had been rejected by the impugned order dated 18.02.2015 (Annexure P2) while the instant petition has been preferred in the year 2018. I am in agreement with the rejection of the

appeals/representations that the challenge to the orders is belated. If the petitioner was aggrieved by the orders passed against him, he ought to have approached the authorities and the Court promptly. This petition, which has been preferred after a period of two decades challenging the orders of imposition of punishment of stoppage of annual increments, cannot be entertained at this stage.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

04.08.2022
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No