

178+255 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-731-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision :12.10.2022

Brij Lal Jindal through L.R. Sanjeev Jindal ...Petitioner

versus

Hussan Lal and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Parveen Kumar Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 28.03.2019, in CWP-8096-2014.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of benefits as per entitlement already having been released to the petitioner and after the filing of the instant petition, interest on the delayed payment of financial benefits also having been released, the petitioner does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

3. Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

4. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

12.10.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No