

COC-499-2022

Date of Decision :28.09.2022

GURJIT SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

ARUN SEKHRI AND ANR.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Tahaf Bains, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1, dated 16.09.2021 in CWP No.18494 of 2021.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.18494 of 2021 was disposed of by directing the respondents to consider and decide legal notice dated 29.07.2021 in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and if found entitled and there was no impediment for the release of payment, to grant the same to the petitioner as per law without any delay.

[3] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the claim of the petitioner as contained in legal notice dated 29.07.2021 has been considered and partly accepted by the respondents vide order dated 25.10.2021, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner is

not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order dated 25.10.2021 to the extent the same is adverse to the interest of the petitioner.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

5. Rule discharged.

28.09.2022

'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Whether reportable

:

:

Yes/No

Yes/No

(B.S. Walia)

Judge