

216

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11426-2022

Date of decision:09.05.2022

JAGSIR SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner, by filing the present petition cast under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.69 of 24.02.2022, registered at Police Station Amargarh, District Malerkotla, wherein offences constituted, under Sections 384/506 of IPC, are embodied.

2. This Court, through an order made, on 17.03.2022 had granted ad-interim bail to the present bail petitioner.

3. The learned State counsel, on instructions given to him, by ASI Dilawar Singh submits, that the present bail petitioner has rendered the fullest co-operation to the investigating officer concerned, and, that neither any recovery is to be made, at his instance, to the investigating officer concerned, and, nor his further co-operation in the investigations, as are underway into FIR (supra), are required, as the investigations are complete, and, that very shortly a report under Section 173 Cr.P.C., would be submitted before the learned trial Magistrate concerned.

4. As a sequel and, also when no evidence becomes placed on record by the prosecution, suggestive that in the event of the bail petitioner being

enlarged on anticipatory bail, there is every likelihood of his fleeing from justice, and/or, tampering with prosecution evidence.

5. In summa the order as made, by this Court, on 17.03.2022, is made absolute on the same terms and conditions. In addition, he shall not tamper with prosecution evidence nor shall influence the prosecution witnesses.

6. Disposed of.

09.05.2022

ithlesh

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No