

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

241

CRM-M-11081-2019

Date of Decision: September 06, 2022

B. Thilagavathy

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Jashandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Seeking quashing of criminal complaint filed under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, the accused has come up before this Court.

Vide order dated 31.03.2022, this Court had issued notice to the respondent however, the petitioner did not file the process fee.

On 31.08.2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“ As per office report, notice could not be issued to respondent No.2, due to non-filing of process fee.

It appears that the petitioner is no more interested to pursue the present petition.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to the petitioner to file process fee within three working days from today.

After doing the needful, fresh notice be issued to respondent No.2, returnable for 06.09.2022.

It is clarified that if the process fee is not filed, then this Court shall proceed further by presuming that the petitioner has no interest to pursue the present petition and the same shall be dismissed for non-prosecution.”

As per report of the Registry, notice to respondent No.2 could not be issued as process fee has not been filed again. It seems that the petitioner is not interested to pursue the matter further.

Given above, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to file fresh one in case he so desires.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

September 06, 2022

sonia arora

SONIA ARORA
2022.09.07 15:36
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

No